

**REMARKS**

This amendment responds to the office action dated September 12, 2007.


The applicant has amended page 6 of the specification to include an inadvertently omitted phrase. The full text of the replacement paragraph is disclosed at par. 0018 of provisional application No. 60/491,462, to which this non-provisional application claims priority.

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 3-5 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious in view of Sezan et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,236,395. Sezan discloses an information management system for delivering program content to a consumer electronics device, such as a cable set-top box, a TIVO, etc. As part of that system, Sezan discloses several screens of an electronic programming guide. One of those screens indicates that video clips of multiple current or upcoming programs on an available, selected *channel* may be presented to a viewer. Sezan also discloses a screen showing key frame views of an individual selected program.

Independent claims 1 and 5, as amended, recite the limitations of “each video clip and key frame automatically selected by said personal video recorder by analyzing the content of the respectively associated video recorded on said storage” and “presenting on said display, a plurality of sequential frames of one of said video clips, beginning from the first frame of the presented one of said video clips, in response to a user selecting a key frame associated with the presented one of said video clips.” Neither of these limitations is either disclosed or suggested by Sezan. Therefore, the applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner’s rejection of these claims, as well as dependent claims 3-5, be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of claims 1, 3-5, and 7.

Respectfully submitted,



Kurt Rohlf  
Reg. No. 54,405  
Tel No.: (503) 227-5631